

LADE CAR PARK

The Prohibition of parking at any time
Coast Drive from Williams Rd,
to Denville Road.

I and 2 of my neighbours are
disabled and appreciate access to
our drives. I have frequent
deliveries of medical supplies, food,

a very small zone with out
double yellow lines, outside our
house will cause unsafe ^{(my second}
access for all of us and just ^{reason to}
concentrate the problem in a different ^{object}
place. An alternative solution
that is safer needs to be found.

~~XXXXXXXXXXXX~~

~~XXXXXXXXXXXX~~ ^{The Parade}

etc. and visits from helpers. I
can not get to your offices and can
not get access to see any details
online. So I object on the basis
of lack of explanation + information
passed to our doors (as was for the
previous consultation). Moving all the
cars from further up the road to

Corporate Post House

15 NOV 2019

(
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
mobile)
leave a message not hepton

①



Greatshire

15 NOV 2019

Dear Parking Department,
re Coast Drive Double yellow
Lines.

I object to the current proposal for
double yellow lines from Williamson
Road to Derryle Road for the following
reasons.

① No notification of the proposal
has been given to houses either
side of the proposed area.

(2)

The properties either side of the proposed area are the ones most likely to be impacted by the double yellow lines as the cars that now park near Lade Furt Car Park to access the beach to windsurf will just move up the road towards Romney Sands Holiday Park or Dungeness and park in non yellow line spots.

② All you are doing is making the issue not making it safer or solving it. And this is not giving DVE WARNING or a CHANCE to COMMENT

(3)

to those that will be affected.

Many residents are elderly and do not walk up and down the road so most of my neighbors do not even know about your proposal and have not SEEN your notices on lamp posts (mostly on the sea side of the road.)

ALSO most of the original notices have now been removed.

③ I think for this to be a reasonable and FAIR consultation notice

(4)
need to be posted either side
of the area (i.e. further up and
down the road than Williamson and
Derville) in the zones that will
actually be affected.

No-one is going to object in the
area you have designated!

Please redo your consultation
process in a fair way.

Many thanks



Thompson, Paul

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 14 November 2019 22:14
To: Traffic Orders
Subject: Traffic orders dept re Coast Drive

Dear Council

**PARKING CONSULTATION
WILLIAMSON ROAD TO DERVILLE ROAD**

I am writing on behalf of [REDACTED] (who has no email) to object to your plans to restrict parking between Williamson Road and Derville Road.

REASONS FOR OBJECTION

The headline reason for the objection is that your plans will lead to damage of the flora and fauna of the shingle beach that forms part of the Dungeness National Nature Reserve.

REASON FOR OBJECTION - SITE OF SPECIAL SCIENTIFIC INTEREST (SSSI)/ COSTAL ACCESS RESTRICTION AREA (see attached photo taken in Lade Fort car park)

This shingle beach is a Site of Special Scientific Interest, Nature Reserve protected by statute. We believe that your proposals may lack due care and attention to the requirements of statutory legislation. This email puts you, the Council and relevant committees, on notice of this. We all need to look after our beautiful environment.

Dungeness is important because it is one of only two such shingle beaches in the UK. On first arrival, some may believe the area to be barren because of its apparent lack of trees and grass. The position is quite the contrary; Dungeness is home to a disproportionately large selection of micro fauna and flora compared to the rest of the UK. This is precisely why the area is designated as a Site of Special Scientific Interest, protected by statute.

Walkers are permitted to walk along the shoreside of the beach. They cause minimal disturbance to shingle which is regularly turned over by the ebb and flow of the tides. However, as diagrams at Lade Fort car park explicitly show (attached) the beach should only be accessed along authorised paths, to protect it from being trampled and damaged by visitors.

Of note are the ridges on the beach . The high points of the ridges are populated by very rare plants, which would be damaged if the ridges themselves were to be damaged by people having unfettered access to walk across the shingle.

UNDERLYING REASON FOR YOUR CONSULTATION

There can be little doubt that the reason for your proposals is the unconstrained lines of cars and vans parked along the road leading to Lade Fort car park.

These cars are a nuisance to local residents and a danger to traffic because they result in a long passage of single-lane highway. Traffic driving down to the Pilot Inn has to wait for oncoming traffic to pass, sometimes for long periods, and sometimes lose patience and simply force their way down the wrong side of the road.

Many of the cars and vans belong to windsurfers who arrive, en masse, when weather and tidal conditions favour their sport. They have little concern for the safety of passing traffic and are content to get changed in full view of anyone passing by.

LADE FORT CAR PARK AND ACCESS TO THE BEACH

Obviously, windsurfers need to access the beach. At Lade Fort they do this using the board walk. This is one of the designated paths for crossing the Site of Special Scientific Interest. Other paths exist, which are shown on the attached diagrams.

No such access is permitted across the beach between Derville Road and the end of the Site of Special Scientific Interest, stretching towards Littlestone.

The board walk was constructed in 2005 by Dungeness National Nature Reserve and Romney Marsh Countryside Partnership. It has Folkestone, Hythe and Romney Marsh and Shepway District Councils as a partner.

The purpose of the board walk is to provide access to the beach from the car park. It's existence 'nudges' people to use the board walk rather than walk all over the beach. It also provides a degree of health and safety because it is safer to walk across the board walk and carry equipment than to go across shingle.

CONSEQUENCES OF YOUR PROPOSALS

The consequences of your proposals could be as follows:

1. Windsurfers might stop coming to the area because Lade Fort car park becomes full and there is no opportunity to park in the roads nearby.
2. More likely, windsurfers move up to the unrestricted parking on the road beyond Derville Road, towards Littlestone. They park in the same way in which they currently park around Lade Fort, causing a dangerous long line of single-lane highway. They continue to get changed in open sight of anyone passing by and in full view of offended householders. At Lade Fort car park there are public conveniences to change in.
3. In addition to the consequences in 2, which are a simple movement of the problem from Lade Fort further down the road, further issues arise because:
 1. They will now carry their equipment across the Site of Special Scientific Interest, coastal access restriction area, for which there is no authorised access
 2. The lack of toilet facilities in that region could lead to consequences that need not be spelled out in writing
4. Of particular interest to me and some others in that region of road, they will park their cars and vans across the road from people who are disabled and would find it difficult to turn in and out of their houses.

I suggest that 2,3 and 4 are more likely than 1. The windsurfers, kite surfers would be very unlikely to walk back to Lade Fort, carrying their equipment. It seems self-evident that they will just walk across the shingle with consequent damage to the ridges and plants.

If that is true, your proposals will simply have moved the problem further down the road and, indeed, will cause further problems to arise.

SOLUTIONS

From my observations, it seems that some people park in the road in order to avoid the quite substantial charges for using the car park. Is this level of charge really required? Consideration could be given to lowering the parking charges.

In addition, I believe the solution comes from considering the position of the whole area.

1. The Parade and Coast Drive are, essentially, residential areas. They deserve the same respect as the residential areas behind the coastal road. The speed limits of 30mph and 40mph reflect their status.
2. Some of the windsurfers are undoubtedly locals, entitled to use the car park.

My personal solution would be as follows:

1. Putting restricted parking along the entire coastal road from Williamson Road through to Romney Sands Caravan Park.
2. Asking residents of the coastal road whether they want restricted parking outside their own property, bearing in mind that if they say no then visitors may park there. For example, some residents might occasionally have visitors who park on the coastal road, who might not want to have restricted parking because they have insufficient parking on their own property.
3. Asking residents of roads leading from the coastal road whether they want restricted parking.
4. Allowing disabled people to park on the coastal road.

The consequences would be that:

1. Local windsurfers would be able to get to Lade Fort in time to park there, ahead of visitors from outside the area.
2. At times when there are no windsurfers, Lade Fort car park would be available for locals and visitors from outside the area alike.
3. Parking would be restricted on the coastal road around the car park, eliminating the current parking problem.
4. The problem would not be moved up the road, causing further issues as described above.
5. The Site of Special Scientific Interest would remain protected, with access to the beach along authorised routes, including the board walk.
6. Disabled people, who presumably are not windsurfers, would be able to park on the coastal road outside the car park, but causing minimal disturbance.
7. People who live on roads behind the coastal road would be consulted as part of an holistic solution for the whole area.

Yours faithfully

[Redacted signature]

[Redacted line]



Sent from my iPad

Thompson, Paul

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 15 November 2019 14:27
To: Traffic Orders
Subject: Coast Drive - Objection to restrictions on waiting, loading and unloading

To whom it may concern,

I am writing to note my objections to the order proposed to restrict vehicles waiting, loading and unloading on Coast Drive. My concerns are specific to the access restrictions that it will cause for tourists and watersports users who visit, especially during the summer months. The car parking options are insufficient for the number of people who visit during the busiest periods. This is an issue of available spaces, size for manoeuvrability of larger vehicles and height restrictions imposed on the northern carpark.

Preventing vehicles from using the roadside north and south of the Lade carpark will likely have a knock on effect to further problems. Parking for the residents of Williamson rd. and Taylor rd. may become overly congested and become an issue for their daily lives. I would also be concerned that drivers less considerate or aware of the status of Nature reserve area, might start to park up onto the shingle and plant areas in order to be off the road.

For those more considerate of the residents and nature reserve, this may just be a deterrent from them visiting the area and so it could result in fewer tourists and a loss customers for local businesses.

Perhaps instead of a complete restriction on Coast Drive, there could be allocation of alternating parking spaces with 50m gaps in between that are prohibited. This would surely manage the flow of traffic along the road whilst still encouraging visitors to the area.

I sincerely hope that the council looks to preserve the opportunity for tourists to enjoy the beach and access to local businesses.

Kind regards

[REDACTED]

Thompson, Paul

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 15 November 2019 16:00
To: Traffic Orders
Subject: Double yellow lining of road by Lade car park at Greatstone

It is with great distress that I have read about the proposed plans to double yellow line the road by Lade car park in Greatstone. As a kitesurfer who has been using this beach for over 15 years, I would be very keen to hear where you propose we park as there is already a lack of parking facilities in the area.

Greatstone is one of the most popular kite surfing beaches in the south east of England and you have to provide the participants of this ever growing sport a place to park. The parking along the road just not cause congestion, all that is required is a little bit of patience form drivers who might need to wait a minute or so before they can pass.

NO TO YELLOW LINES

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]



Thompson, Paul

From:

Sent:

To:

Subject:

[REDACTED]
15 November 2019 16:48

Traffic Orders

Double yellow lining the road by Lade car park at Greatstone.

Hello

I wish to object to the planning of double yellow lining from Lade to Williamson Road at Greatstone. The reason for this objection is there is a lack of parking in this specific location. Also this WILL impact on people visiting the beach and there for have a impact on local business.

Kind Regards

[REDACTED]

Thompson, Paul

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 15 November 2019 16:28
To: Traffic Orders
Subject: Double yellows at greatstone

Hi There

The carpark only has a certain number of spaces, kitesurfers and tourists alike need places to park.

Maybe double yellow some 'passing points ' instead.

People will just park elsewhere in the residential areas.

Alot of kitesurfers come from London and spend money locally,

The car park rate is super high and unaffordable for our staff, and us.

--
Kind Regards

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Thompson, Paul

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 15 November 2019 16:54
To: Traffic Orders
Subject: Lade car park at greatstone

To whom it may concern

I believe you are planning to introduce double yellow lines at Lade car park at greatstone. I object to this.

I frequent this beach throughout the year and I'm very disappointed in you wanting to Stop beach goers using this road to park on, we have no other option due to the lack of parking spaces in the car park.

Regards

[REDACTED]

Sent from my iPhone

Thompson, Paul

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 15 November 2019 16:40
To: Traffic Orders
Subject: Lade car parking objection

Hi.
I can not believe that the you are thinking of reducing car parking spaces as during the summer everyone has to park on the street which you are now thinking of removing by putting double yellow lines. This area is a very popular spot for water sports and beach users. I do think this is not a good idea.

Regards

[REDACTED]
Sent from my iPad

Thompson, Paul

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 15 November 2019 16:39
To: Traffic Orders
Subject: Objection to Prohibition Order - Lade Car Park

Dear Sir/ Madam,

I write in reference and strong objection to your notice of Order to the prohibition of waiting and stopping in the vicinity of the Lade Car park on coast drive, Lydd.

The car park is used extensively by members of the public for recreational water and beach sports activities, in particular kite-surfers. There are (I believe) less than 30 spaces in the car park - this is clearly inadequate and even on a moderately busy day the main road has to be used for parking.

The area of beach next to Lade car park offers the safest area for kite-surfing with it being equidistance from Dungeness Power Station in the South and away from the busier public area of the beach near Greatstone to the North. It also provides a central location for adventurous activities so the safety of individuals can be easily observed by others and the relevant agencies (such as the coast guard).

The implementation of parking restrictions along the road next to the car park will be highly damaging and will likely result in the following:

- People forced to park elsewhere at huge inconvenience to both themselves and local residents e.g. private roads, backs of houses (simply moving the problem elsewhere).
- Overcrowding of other car parks forcing kite-surfers to use more dangerous and crowded parts of the beach.
- Increased members of the public transiting along and across a very fast road carrying large amounts of equipment - increased likelihood of an accident.
- A reduced footfall in local shops of external visitors - further degrade the local economy.

I respectfully request a reply to my email within 5 working days detailing how you intend to deal with the issues I have raised.

Many thanks,

[REDACTED]

Thompson, Paul

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 15 November 2019 16:04
To: Traffic Orders
Subject: Objection to Yellow lining of road next to lade car park at greatstone

To whom it may concern,

It has been brought to my attention that there is a plan for the road next to lade car park at great stone to be yellow lined.

The issue that i believe has been over looked is that the beach is used by a large number of kite surfers (myself included), that park here.

The car park itself is not large enough to accommodate the high number of people that attend this beach when the winds are coming in from the north-north east round to south east.

By double lining this road it will mean we (myself included) will be forced to park in the neighbouring roads.

I also drive a large 4x4 and the car park simply does not accommodate this, there are simply not enough spaces and inevitably the road is used to park on.

I would urge you to attend the site when there is an easterly wind, given a local kitesurfing school uses this beach and use a large can to transport the students kites etc you would directly affect their business by making parking difficult as they would need to park in residential streets.

Ultimately double lining the road would simply decrease the number of people that come to great-stone.

Rather than double lining why not widen the road to have road side parking with pay parking? This would increase turnover for the council and also clear the roadway for traffic flow.

Simply listening only to the residence who live on this road is rather narrow minded and double lining the road is rather heavy handed in my opinion.

Kind regards

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Sent from my IOS device.

Thompson, Paul

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 14 November 2019 16:53
To: Traffic Orders
Subject: Proposed traffic order - The Parade and Coast Drive, Greatstone

For the attention of Mr Paul Thompson - Urgent deadline 15 November

I write with regard to the above proposed order to insert double yellow lines along the stretch of road from the intersection of Williamson Road and Coast Drive to the intersection of Derville Road and The Parade.

I believe the reason for this is all the parking along this stretch of road by the windsurfing community instead of paying for the car park in the area.

I live at [REDACTED] which is [REDACTED] down from where the current double yellow lines for the Derville Road bus stop. There are 11 houses between the Derville Road bus stop and the next set of double yellow lines starting at 198 The Parade. I request that you please include these 11 houses between 202 The Parade and 220 The Parade to have the double yellow lines. If this is not done then the problem with parked cars will just move to opposite these 11 houses (including my houses [REDACTED]).

Parked cars opposite these houses will cause problems for these houses when pulling out of their driveways. Also added noise when people are constantly driving on one side of the road by the houses to overtake the parked cars.

There is no need to have parking areas opposite these 11 houses as they have large enough driveways to fit at least 2 cars and any visitors to the area should be using the car parks provided.

If these 11 houses are not included to have double yellow lines then all you are doing is just moving the problem that you have opposite the car park because the kite surfers who park there will not pay for car parking and just move further down the road opposite my house.

I understand that any comments regarding the proposed order should be put forward before 5.00pm on Friday 15th November and therefore request that you please consider my request and place this before the Council before this deadline.

Please acknowledge receipt of my e-mail.

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Sent from my iPad

Thompson, Paul

From: Traffic Management Coldharbour Kent <roadspol.td@kent.police.uk>
Sent: 25 October 2019 11:23
To: Thompson, Paul
Subject: RE: New traffic order proposals

Good morning Paul,

Kent Police have no specific observations to make regarding the waiting restriction proposals, however in general terms we would expect the following:

- The application meets the necessary criteria
- The introduction of Parking restrictions complies in all respect with the Traffic Signs Regulations and General Directions 2016
- The introduction of such measures will not leave the Police with the task of carrying out constant enforcement issues
- The safety of other road users is not compromised by the introduction of these measures

Civil Parking Enforcement will require Local Authority to ensure resources are available to enforce these proposals.

Our reference for your proposals is 281/19

Regards

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
Traffic Management Planning Co-ordinator

Kent Police | Tactical Operations | Coldharbour
London Road | Aylesford | MAIDSTONE | Kent | ME20 7SL

☎ 01622 798574 internal 14-2174 [REDACTED]
☎ 07801 888669



From: Paul.Thompson@folkestone-hythe.gov.uk <Paul.Thompson@folkestone-hythe.gov.uk>
Sent: 23 October 2019 11:57
To: TrafficOrders@folkestone-hythe.gov.uk
Subject: New traffic order proposals

Dear Consultee,

Please find attached Proposal Notices for Disabled parking bays, general waiting/loading restrictions across the district. The Notices are scheduled to appear in the KM papers on the 24th October 2019

Thompson, Paul

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 15 November 2019 15:40
To: Traffic Orders
Subject: Traffic enforcement Coast Drive and the Parade near to Lade car park
Attachments: Coast Drive and Lade car park.png

To Whom it my concern.

I am writing to object to the plans to enforce parking and waiting restrictions on the road of Coast Drive and the Parade around Lade car park.

There are many reasons that we disagree with the decision currently made, which I have outlined below.

The size of Lade car park and number of spaces does not fulfil the number of cars needing to park in the area, the car park has just over 30 spaces, on a busy day there are well over 50 cars parked in the area, either in the car park or along the road as the car park is not sufficient. Also some longer vehicles struggle to move around the car park when busy or do not fit in the spaces without blocking access for others, when full some of the taller vehicles have no other option than to park on the road as the other nearby car parks all have height restrictions.

The local area is a SSSI Nature reserve, blocking the parking off to the road will increase the chances that drivers will park well off the road, past the verge and onto the gravel and endangered habitat. This would then not be down to the parking officers and would need police and would be difficult to enforce and would lead to damaged wildlife in either circumstances.

The small size of the Lade car park will mean that users will be forced to park somewhere else, which will increase the chances of parking down Williamson road and Taylor road, in front of residents properties which could cause them disruption.

Tourism is one of the key incomes for the area, by continually putting restrictions and charges on the area it decreases the desire for people to visit the area, we have been using various locations along the Greatstone to Hythe beach for over 10 years to teach our students dependant upon the tide and wind direction. Many of our customers then use the local shops, restaurants, B&B's and we have even had some move to the area, by restricting use it also restricts these opportunities.

As a direct impact upon our business, we have frequently found it difficult to park on busy days and the unavailability of parking options for our instructors and customers could cause delays or even prevent us from providing our lessons.

Alternative options, with the lack of parking spaces it can become quite busy along the road however instead of a blanket ban to one area which will just move the problem further along would it not be better to make a system that still allows a steady flow of traffic without causing damage to the area, reduction in tourism and disruption to the locals. By having sections of restricted alternating with sections of unrestricted then on the busy day parsing places can form, this also helps reduce the speed of some of the cars driving along the front that like to go above the 40mph limit. I have attached a map giving a idea to help with your consultation.

Kind regards
[REDACTED]

On behalf of [REDACTED]

Thompson, Paul

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 25 October 2019 14:51
To: Traffic Orders
Cc: Tracie Terris
Subject: Parking in Stanley Road, Cheriton
Attachments: IMG_0236.JPG

Hi,

I live at [REDACTED] and saw this attached notice on a lamp post this morning. I have lived here for 10 years and have parked on this road all this time and all the residents that live from the Milky Whey up to the All Souls School end of the road, park with the nearside of their cars on the curb to allow enough room for buses and rubbish lorries along with delivery lorries to use the road.

Does this notice mean that if we continue to do this to facilitate the passage of these sorts of large vehicles, which will include fire engines if needed, that we will be issued penalty notices?

I understand that there is an issue at the School arrival and leaving times with motorists parking on the double yellow lined areas and also blocking the side access roads but residents parking in permitted areas with a wheel on the curb do not cause any problems for pedestrians or other road users.

Looking for clarification on this please?

Thanks,

[REDACTED]

Thompson, Paul

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 14 November 2019 14:17
To: Traffic Orders
Subject: RE: Order 2019 (Amendment No 14) - PARKING PLACE FOR EMERGENCY VEHICLES ONLY - STADE STREET

Good afternoon Mr Thompson,

Thanks for your email.

Please can I register my objection to this proposal. I feel that a bay to cover two vehicles should be more than adequate. To have a restriction on the bay that is currently marked out, i.e. the whole length of the building is extreme.

Age Concern are running a commercial operation from this building and should, in my view be creating off-street parking to the side of the building as a drop off rather than planning to extend the building further.

Regards
[REDACTED]

From: Paul.Thompson@folkestone-hythe.gov.uk <Paul.Thompson@folkestone-hythe.gov.uk> On Behalf Of TrafficOrders@folkestone-hythe.gov.uk
Sent: 14 November 2019 13:49
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Order 2019 (Amendment No 14) - PARKING PLACE FOR EMERGENCY VEHICLES ONLY - STADE STREET

Good morning: [REDACTED]

The only vehicles that would be able to park in the proposed bay would be the Age Concern minibuses and emergency vehicles, no staff parking would be allowed. Age Concern requested this.

I hope this helps

Kindest regards

Paul Thompson
Highway Engineer
Transportation
T: 01303 853240
Folkestone & Hythe District Council, Civic Centre,
Castle Hill Avenue, Folkestone, Kent. CT20 2QY
paul.thompson@folkestone-hythe.gov.uk
www.folkestone-hythe.gov.uk

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 14 November 2019 12:23
To: Traffic Orders <TrafficOrders@folkestone-hythe.gov.uk>
Subject: Order 2019 (Amendment No 14) - PARKING PLACE FOR EMERGENCY VEHICLES ONLY - STADE STREET

Good Morning,